

IFW

Attorney Docket No. 0219.0016C

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Michael L. Bell

Examiner: Yu, Melanie J.

Serial No.: 10/071,395

Art Unit: 1641

Confirmation No.: 9989

Filed: February 8, 2002

For: Methods and Reagents For Conducting Multiplexed Assays of Multiple Analytes

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF RESPONSE

Enclosed are the following documents in response to the Office Action mailed
August 25, 2006 for the above-identified application:

- ☒ Response (12 Pages)
- ☐ Petition for Extension of Time
- ☐ Request for Approval of Drawing Changes
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Notice of Appeal
- ☐ Associate Power
- ☐ Revocation and New Power
- ☐ Change of Address
- ☐ Request for Continued Examination
- ☐ Terminal Disclaimer
- ☐ Return receipt postcard
- ☐ Other:


☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional appropriate fees that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 05-0460.

Dated: 9/19/2006

EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC
CUSTOMER NO. 27896
1901 Research Boulevard, Suite 400
Rockville, MD 20850
(301) 424-3640

Respectfully submitted by
EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC


By:


Jeffrey I. Auerbach
Reg. No. 32,680



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/071,395

02/08/2002

Michael L. Bell

2030-045

9989

22471 7590 08/25/2006

PATENT LEGAL DEPARTMENT/A-42-C
BECKMAN COULTER, INC.
4300 N. HARBOR BOULEVARD
BOX 3100
FULLERTON, CA 92834-3100

EXAMINER

YU, MELANIE J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1641

LEGAL DEPT.

AUG 29 2006

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/071,395

Applicant(s)

BELL, MICHAEL L.

Examiner

Melanie Yu

Art Unit

1641

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response to the restriction election dated 5 June 2006 has been noted. However, upon further consideration a new restriction requirement has been made.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

-
- I. Claims 1-4 and 12-14 are drawn to a method for assaying one or more target analytes comprising pores that sterically interfere with the ability of a ligand to bind with analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - II. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 12-14 are drawn to a method for assaying one or more target analytes comprising molecules that sterically interfere with the ability of a ligand to bind with analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
 - III. Claims 6-11 are drawn to a method for assaying analyte comprising chemical interference, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.
 - IV. Claims 15-24 are drawn to a composition for assaying a target analyte, classified in class 436, subclass 518.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions of each of groups I-III are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the methods of groups I and II are mutually exclusive, are not obvious variants and have materially different mode of operation because the each group requires limitations which are not required by the other group. The method of group I requires pores that sterically interfere with the ability of the binding ligand to bind to the target analyte, which is not required of

Art Unit: 1641

the method of group II or III. The method of group II requires molecules that sterically interfere with the ability of the binding ligand, which is not required of the method of group I or III. The method of group III requires molecules that chemically interfere with the ability of the binding ligand to bind to target analyte, which is not required of the method of group I or II.

-
2. Inventions of a) each of groups I-III and b) group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product of group IV can be used in either of the materially different processes of groups I-III.
 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
 4. Claim 1 link(s) inventions of a) claims 3-4 and b) claim 5. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier.

Art Unit: 1641

5. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 23 is generic. If group IV is elected, ONE species from species group A must also be elected.

Group A is drawn to an interference selected from: chemical or steric.

Each of the interferences of group A are patentably distinct because they require different structures and interference techniques.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Art Unit: 1641

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed

Art Unit: 1641

product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 10/071,395


Art Unit: 1641

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Melanie Yu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1641



LONG V. LE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600



Date 08/29/06		Title Methods and Reagents for Conducting Multiplexed Assays of Multiple Analytes						
Docket Number 2030-045								
Inventors Bell, Michael L.		Business Center ATC						
Decision or Action Required Respond to Restriction Requirement								
Internal Due Date 09/15/06		Due Date to Patent Authority 09/25/06						
Action Synopsis <i>Election/Restrictions</i> Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: <div style="margin-left: 40px;"> I. Claims 1-4 and 12-14 are drawn to a method for assaying one or more target analytes comprising pores that sterically interfere with the ability of a ligand to bind with analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1. II. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 12-14 are drawn to a method for assaying one or more target analytes comprising molecules that sterically interfere with the ability of a ligand to bind with analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 4. III. Claims 6-11 are drawn to a method for assaying analyte comprising chemical interference, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1. IV. Claims 15-24 are drawn to a composition for assaying a target analyte, classified in class 436, subclass 518. </div>								
Links or References to Related Documents Please Refer to Email.								
Patent Family								
CaseNumber	Ctry	Sub Case	Case Type	Status	Application Number	Filing Date	Patent Number	Issue Date
2030-045	EP		PCT	Published	03737541.7	21-Jan-2003		
2030-045	US		ORD	Published	10/071395	08-Feb-2002		
2030-045	WO		ORD	Inactive	US2003/001497	21-Jan-2003		
Additional Comments								